

FOSTERING DAYS

PROGRAMME

ERC Workshop & Clinics for StG 2019 call preparation – 10th-12th July 2018
For ERC Starting Grants, foreseen deadline is **October 2018**.

In this workshop for ERC Starting applicants we will discuss the ERC and panel specifics for the scientific proposal and Principal Investigator.

The ERC selection criteria applied by the panels use terms which have become familiar jargon, such as important challenge, novel concept, scientific approach and feasibility. Most of these terms are also used by other funding agencies but they are interpreted and applied differently. This workshop will explain in detail not only what these terms mean and imply under the ERC umbrella but also how the ERC panel members use these terms to discuss, assess and select project proposals. This process differs from panel to panel, for example feasibility of the scientific approach is assessed differently in social sciences from life sciences and development of a new methodology has a different meaning in social sciences from physics. What does this imply? This workshop will supply you with the necessary knowledge to write a successful ERC proposal and will be an invaluable aid in meeting the ERC standards and getting one step closer to obtaining an ERC grant.

Using the ERC guide "*Instructions for Applicants*" we will explain how you can address the ERC selection criteria and the panel specifics and draft a competitive project proposal. For example, we will examine keywords used in the ERC documentation and explain how to present a competitive proposal by focusing on high quality research. We will discuss what defines high quality research and how can the ERC evaluation criteria be used to translate your project idea into high quality research. How can the term '*groundbreaking*' be used to mark the innovativeness of the proposed research and the term '*high gain*' to demonstrate the potential breakthrough in science? How can your ambition be balanced by evidence for feasibility and back-up plans?

We will explain in detail how you to address the evaluation criteria and in particular innovativeness and feasibility in view of the panel specifics and research fields.

We will also discuss the panel specifics concerning the quality of the CVs and track-record of ERC grantees. What are the norms per panel and what does this mean for the CVs of the participants planning to submit under H2020? What "actions" do participants have to highlight or undertake to bring their CV in line with the expectations of the selected panel?

1. Training objectives

To provide researchers with a good understanding of:

- the evaluation criteria and how to analyse them;
- what makes an excellent PI according to the reviewers of the different domains/panels;
- how to write a competitive scientific proposal considering panel specifics and type of project.

2. Who should attend?

The workshop will be of value for applicants who want to submit an ERC proposal as well as support staff. Depending on the scientific backgrounds of the participants we will highlight domain specific issues.

3. Methodology

The seminar will be in English, with no translation. The trainer will provide practical information and discuss the requested information, the evaluation criteria and the best strategy for drafting the proposal with the participants.

FOSTERING DAYS

The workshop is highly interactive and includes discussions to promote an exchange of views between participants and trainer. Each participant receives an extensive guide with the information on the topics listed in the programme.

4. Trainer

The trainer has extensive experience in running ERC workshops and in pre-submission review of ERC Starting, Consolidator and Advanced grants. She has successfully trained candidates for writing ERC proposals since the 2008 call. Her knowledge and experience in pre-submission review of ERC proposals is an important aspect of our success in this training.

5. Programme

8:45	Welcome
9:00	Opening
Part I	ERC Grants in a nutshell ERC objectives, selection criteria and evaluation procedure and eligibility criteria.
Part II	Concept development We will discuss what is required to develop a project idea for an ERC proposal in view of the ERC evaluation criteria. <i>Tea & coffee break</i>
Part III	Scientific Proposal: Objectives, concept, gain and scientific approach Using the ERC writing instructions and the evaluation criteria we will discuss how to present the project idea and scientific approach to the critical external referees. We will discuss ERC terms as challenge, ambition, groundbreaking, and impact and how panels select proposals.
12:30	<i>Lunch altogether (Buffet)</i>
Part IV	Continuation: Work plan, methodology and resources In this section we will discuss how to present the intermediate goals, planned activities and methodology to the reviewer who has to assess the appropriateness of the methodology to achieve the goals. We will also discuss how to balance your ambition and high risks with evidence for feasibility, alternative plans and resources. <i>Short Break</i>
Part V	Cover page and Extended Synopsis We will discuss how to present the Scientific Proposal to the panel in

FOSTERING DAYS

step 1 of the evaluation. What are the panel members looking for? The emphasis will be on the groundbreaking nature and feasibility of the proposal and how the outcomes of the research will impact further research and society.

Part VI Principal Investigator

We will address the key elements for Curriculum Vitae including Funding ID, Early Achievement Track Record and assessment of career achievements.

16:30 End of course

CLINICS: we are offering the registered participants, the opportunity to book an hour RDV with the trainers to discuss the project concept for the ERC grant and CV (11 or 12 of July)

6. Date/Booking/Contact

Time July 10th - 08.45 – 17.00

Language English

Location Maison MINATEC – 1st floor– 3 Parvis Louis Néel – Grenoble - tram B cité internationale

Booking www.fostering-science.com

Contact fostering-science@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr

Fee covered by the Fostering Science program